

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION (

1

28

2	GARY PIERCE			
3	Chairman BOB STUMP	Arizona Corporatio		
	Commissioner	DOCKE	EIED	
4	SANDRA D. KENNEDY Commissioner	SEP 15	2011	
5	PAUL NEWMAN Commissioner	DOCKETED BY		
6	BRENDA BURNS		me !	
7	Commissioner			
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLI	CATION)	DOCKET NO.	SW-20445A-09-0077
-	OF GLOBAL WATER – PALO VE	<	BOOKET TO.	5 (1 20 1 13 1 1 0 5 00))
9	UTILITIES COMPANY FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND	, {		
10	REASONABLE RATES AND CHA			
11	FOR UTILITY SERVICE DESIGN REALIZE A REASONABLE RATI)		
12	RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE	,		
	PROPERTY THROUGHOUT THE	STATE }		
13	OF ARIZONA IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLI	CATION)	DOCKET NO	W-02451A-09-0078
1415	OF VALENCIA WATER COMPA	NY –	Doord To.	0213111 05 0070
	GREATER BUCKEYE DIVISION FOR THE { ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND			
16	REASONABLE RATES AND CHA	,		
17	FOR UTILITY SERVICE DESIGN]		
18	REALIZE A REASONABLE RATI RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE	,		,
	PROPERTY THROUGHOUT THE	\ \		
19	OF ARIZONA IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLI	CATION	DOCKET NO	W-01732A-09-0079
20	OF WILLOW VALLEY WATER	CATION	DOCKET NO.	W-01/32A-09-00/9
21	COMPANY FOR THE ESTABLISH	,		
	OF JUST AND REASONABLE RA	,		
22	DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REAS)		
23	RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR OF ITS PROPERTY THROUGHO	,		
24	STATE OF ARIZONA	}		
25		<i>J</i>		
26				
27				

error. Staff filed its recommendation and proposed order on August 15, 2011.

Decision No.

28

* * * * * * * *

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Septic tank haulers and grease trap haulers, who charge a fee for removal services, typically pay a fee to facilities for environmentally sound disposal in landfills. According to the Company, some haulers choose instead to dump their loads into a sewer system ("unauthorized discharge"), and that some of the materials that haulers carry have the potential to seriously disrupt the Company's wastewater treatment processes, in some cases for many days or even weeks.
- 2. The proposed tariff would only apply to unauthorized discharges involving the Palo Verde collection and treatment systems. The Company's collection and treatment systems are designed for municipal wastewater flow and all other discharges are unauthorized and prohibited. Per the proposed tariff all discharges into the Palo Verde collection and treatment system must be made by customers of record through the customer's authorized service line.
 - 3. The Company's proposed tariff contains the following fees:

 Any person (as defined in A.A.C. R14-2-601.16) that makes an unauthorized discharge into the Company's collection or treatment system is subject to a fee, for each occurrence, as follows:
 - a) \$5,000; and
 - b) All costs associated with the collection and remediation of the unauthorized discharge.
- 4. Staff understands that the proposed tariff would typically apply to an individual or entity that is not a customer of the Company. Staff's support for the adoption of such a tariff in the case leading up to Decision No. 71878 contemplated that the tariff would only apply to ratepayers of the Company. Staff does not believe it would be appropriate for the Commission to approve this tariff in its current form since it would apply to an area beyond the Commission's regulatory authority and could not be enforced. Staff is also concerned that the total fee may be punitive where a minor unauthorized discharge has occurred. Staff believes the total fee amount should be

	Page 4	Docket Nos. SW-20445A-09-0077, et al.			
1	tied more closely to the collection and remediation costs associated with a specific unauthorized				
2	discharge. Finally, Staff is concerned that the proposed tariff does not define the substances or				
3	materials that could damage the Company's collection and treatment systems.				
4	5.	Staff recommends that the Commission deny the Company's Unauthorized			
5	Discharge Fee Tariff for the reasons previously discussed.				
6	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
7	1.	The Company is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of			
8	the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-250 and 40-252.				
9	2.	The Commission has jurisdiction over the Company and of the subject matter of the			
10	application				
11	3.	It is not in the public interest to authorize the Company's request for approval of the			
12	Unauthorized Discharge Fee Tariff as discussed herein.				
13					
14					
15					
16					
١7					
18					
19	• • •				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		Decision No. 72581			

28

Decision No. **72581**

Page 6

Ms. Janice Alward Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Docket Nos. SW-20445A-09-0077, et al.

Mr. Steven M. Olea Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

25

28